arXiv:1008.3700v1 [math.DG] 22 Aug 2010

# THE SECOND VARIATIONAL FORMULA OF THE $k$-ENERGY AND $k$-HARMONIC CURVES

SHUN MAETA

Abstract. In [6], J.Eells and L. Lemaire introduced $k$-harmonic maps, and Wang Shaobo [9] showed the first variation formula. In this paper, we give the second variation formula of $k$-energy, and give a notion of index, nullity and weakly stable. We also study $k$-harmonic maps into the product Riemannian manifold, and $k$-harmonic curves into a Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature, and show their non-trivial solutions.

## Introduction

Theory of harmonic maps has been applied into various fields in differential geometry. The harmonic maps between two Riemannian manifolds are critical maps of the energy functional $E(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M \|d\phi\|^2 v_g$, for smooth maps $\phi : M \to N$.

On the other hand, in 1981, J. Eells and L. Lemaire [6] proposed the problem to consider the *k-harmonic maps*: they are critical maps of the functional

$$E_k(\phi) = \int_M e_k(\phi) v_g, \quad (k = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

where $e_k(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\|(d + d^*)^k \phi\|^2$ for smooth maps $\phi : M \to N$. G.Y. Jiang [5] studied the first and second variation formulas of the bi-energy $E_2$, and critical maps of $E_2$ are called *biharmonic maps*. There have been extensive studies on biharmonic maps.

In 1989 Wang Shaobo [9] studied the first variation formula of the $k$-energy $E_k$, whose critical maps are called $k$-harmonic maps. Harmonic maps are always $k$-harmonic maps by definition. In this paper, we study $k$-harmonic maps and show the second variational formula of $E_k$.

In §1, we introduce notation and fundamental formulas of the tension field.

In §2, we recall $k$-harmonic maps.

In §3, we calculate second variation of the $k$-energy $E_k(\phi)$.

In §4, we show the reduction theorem of $k$-harmonic maps into the product spaces.

Finally, in §5, we study $k$-harmonic curve into Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature, and get non-trivial solution of $k$-harmonic curve. Furthermore, we determine the ODE of the 3-harmonic curve equation into a sphere.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let $(M, g)$ be an $m$ dimensional Riemannian manifold, $(N, h)$ an $n$ dimensional one, and $\phi : M \to N$, a smooth map. We use the following notation. The second fundamental form $B(\phi)$ of $\phi$ is a covariant differentiation $\widetilde{\nabla} d\phi$ of 1-form $d\phi$, which is a section of $\odot^2 T^*M \otimes \phi^{-1}TN$. For every $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, let

$$\begin{aligned} B(X,Y) &= (\widetilde{\nabla} d\phi)(X,Y) = (\widetilde{\nabla}_X d\phi)(Y) \\ &= \overline{\nabla}_X d\phi(Y) - d\phi(\nabla_X Y) = \nabla^N_{d\phi(X)} d\phi(Y) - d\phi(\nabla_X Y). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 58E20, secondary 53C43.

Here, $\nabla, \nabla^N, \overline{\nabla}, \widetilde{\nabla}$ are the induced connections on the bundles $TM$, $TN$, $\phi^{-1}TN$ and $T^*M \otimes \phi^{-1}TN$, respectively.

If $M$ is compact, we consider critical maps of the energy functional

$$E(\phi) = \int_M e(\phi) v_g, \tag{2}$$

where $e(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\|d\phi\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2}\langle d\phi(e_i), d\phi(e_i)\rangle$ which is called the *enegy density* of $\phi$, and the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a Riemannian metric $h$. The *tension field* $\tau(\phi)$ of $\phi$ is defined by

$$\tau(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\widetilde{\nabla} d\phi)(e_i, e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_i} d\phi)(e_i). \tag{3}$$

Then, $\phi$ is a *harmonic map* if $\tau(\phi) = 0$.

The curvature tensor field $R^N(\cdot,\cdot)$ of the Riemannian metric on the bundle $TN$ is defined as follows :

$$R^N(X,Y) = \nabla^N_X \nabla^N_Y - \nabla^N_Y \nabla^N_X - \nabla^N_{[X,Y]}, \quad (X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)). \tag{4}$$

$\overline{\triangle} = \overline{\nabla}^* \overline{\nabla} = -\sum_{k=1}^m (\overline{\nabla}_{e_k} \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} - \overline{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_k} e_k})$, is the *rough Laplacian.*

## 2. $k$-HARMONIC MAPS

J. Eells and L. Lemaire [6] proposed the notation of $k$-harmonic maps. The Euler-Lagrange equations for the $k$-harmonic maps was shown by Wang Shaobo [9]. In this section, we recall $k$-harmonic maps.

We consider a smooth variation $\{\phi_t\}_{t \in I_\epsilon} (I_\epsilon = (-\epsilon, \epsilon))$ of $\phi$ with parameters $t$, i.e. we consider the smooth map $F$ given by

$$F : I_\epsilon \times M \to N, F(t,p) = \phi_t(p),$$

where $F(0,p) = \phi_0(p) = \phi(p)$, forall $p \in M$.

The corresponding variational vector field $V$ is given by

$$V(p) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \phi_{t,0} \in T_{\phi(p)}N,$$

$V$ are section of $\phi^{-1}TN$, i.e. $V \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN)$.

Definition **2.1** ([6])**.** For $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ the *$k$-energy functional* is defined by

$$E_k(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|(d + d^*)^k \phi\|^2 v_g, \quad \phi \in C^\infty(M, N).$$

Then, $\phi$ is *$k$-harmonic* if it is a critical point of $E_k$, i.e., for all smooth variation $\{\phi_t\}$ of $\phi$ with $\phi_0 = \phi$,

$$\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} E_k(\phi_t) = 0.$$

We say for a $k$-harmonic map to be *proper* if it is not harmonic.

**Lemma 2.2.**

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \overline{\triangle}^{s-1} \tau(F)|_{t=0} = & -\overline{\triangle}^s V + \overline{\triangle}^{s-1} R^N(V, d\phi(e_j)) d\phi(e_j) \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} \overline{\triangle}^{l-1} \{ -\overline{\nabla}_{e_j} R^N(V, d\phi(e_j)) \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1} \tau(\phi) \\
& \qquad - R^N(V, d\phi(e_j)) \overline{\nabla}_{e_j} \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1} \tau(\phi) \\
& \qquad + R^N(V, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j} e_j) \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1} \tau(\phi) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For all $\omega \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\overline{\triangle}\omega &= -\{\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\nabla}_{e_j} - \overline{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_j}e_j})\omega\} \\
&= -\{\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\omega) + R^N(dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), dF(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\omega \\
&\quad - \overline{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_j}e_j}\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\omega - R^N(dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), dF(\nabla_{e_j}e_j))\omega\} \\
&= -\{\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\omega + R^N(dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), dF(e_j))\omega) \\
&\quad + R^N(dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), dF(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\omega \\
&\quad - \overline{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_j}e_j}\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\omega - R^N(dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), dF(\nabla_{e_j}e_j))\omega\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating this and using

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\tau(F)|_{t=0} = -\overline{\triangle}V + R^N(V, d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j),$$

we have the lemma.

□

**Lemma 2.3.**

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F)|_{t=0} = &- \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s}V + \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}R^N(V, d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j) \\
&+ \sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{l-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(V, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi) \\
&\qquad - R^N(V, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi) \\
&\qquad + R^N(V, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi)\} \\
&+ R^N(V, d\phi(e_i))\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F) = \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F) + R^N(dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), dF(e_i))\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F).$$

And using Lemma 2.2, we have the lemma. □

**Lemma 2.4.**

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \langle\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(V, d\phi(e_j))V_1 - R^N(V, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)), V_1, V_2\rangle v_g \\
&\qquad = -\int_M \langle R^N(V, d\phi(e_j))V_1, \overline{\nabla}_{e_j}V_2\rangle v_g,
\end{aligned}$$

$V_1, V_2 \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}(\langle R^N(V, d\phi(e_i))V_1, V_2\rangle e_i) =& \langle\nabla_{e_j}\langle R^N(V, d\phi(e_i))V_1, V_2\rangle e_i, e_j\rangle \\
=& \langle\langle\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(V, d\phi(e_i))V_1, V_2\rangle e_i \\
&+ \langle R^N(V, d\phi(e_i))V_1, \overline{\nabla}_{e_j}V_2\rangle e_i \\
&+ \langle R^N(V, d\phi(e_i))V_1, V_2\rangle\nabla_{e_j}e_i, e_j\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By Green's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 =& \int_M \mathrm{div}\langle \mathrm{R^N(V, d\phi(e_i))V_1, V_2\rangle e_i v_g} \\ =& \int_M \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_j} R^N(V, d\phi(e_i)V_1, V_2)\rangle \delta_{ij} \\ &+ \langle R^N(V, d\phi(e_i)V_1, \overline{\nabla}_{e_j} V_2)\delta_{ij} \\ &+ \langle R^N(V, d\phi(e_i))V_1, V_2\rangle\langle \nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_j\rangle v_g.\end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R^N(V, d\phi(e_i))V_1, V_2\rangle\langle \nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_j\rangle =& \langle R^N(V, d\phi(\langle \nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_j\rangle e_i))V_1, V_2\rangle \\ =& -\langle R^N(V, d\phi(\nabla_{e_i} e_i))V_1, V_2\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the lamma. □

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let* $k = 2s \quad (s = 1, 2, \cdots)$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}E_{2s}(\phi_t)|_{t=0} = \int_M \langle \tau_{2s}(\phi), V\rangle,$$

*where,*

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{2s}(\phi) =& -\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(\phi) + R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(\phi), d\phi(e_j))e\phi(e_j) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\{R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_j) \\ &\qquad - R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_j)\},\end{aligned}$$

*where,* $\overline{\triangle}^{-1} = 0$.

Proof.

$$E_{2s}(\phi) = \int_M \langle \underbrace{(d^*d)\cdots(d^*d)}_{s}\phi, \underbrace{(d^*d)\cdots(d^*d)}_{s}\phi\rangle v_g = \int_M \langle \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi)\rangle v_g$$

By using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, we calculate $\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}E_{2s}(\phi_t)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}E_{2s}(\phi_t)|_{t=0}\\
&=\int_M \langle \overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F),\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F)\rangle v_g|_{t=0}\\
&=\int_M \langle -\overline{\triangle}^{s}V+\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\\
&\quad+\sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\overline{\triangle}^{l-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi)\\
&\qquad\qquad-R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi)\\
&\qquad\qquad+R^N(V,d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi),\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi)\}\rangle\\
&=\int_M \langle V,-\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(\phi)\rangle v_g\\
&\quad+\int_M \langle V,R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(\phi),d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\rangle v_g\\
&\quad+\sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\int_M \langle -\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi)\\
&\qquad\qquad-R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi)\\
&\qquad\qquad+R^N(V,d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi),\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi)\rangle v_g
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&=\int_M \langle V,-\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(\phi)\rangle v_g\\
&\quad+\int_M \langle V,R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(\phi),d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\rangle v_g\\
&\quad+\sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\{\int_M \langle R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi),\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi)\rangle v_g\\
&\quad+\int_M \langle -R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi),\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi)\rangle v_g\}
\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&=\int_M \langle V,-\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(\phi)\rangle v_g\\
&\quad+\int_M \langle V,R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(\phi),d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\rangle v_g\\
&\quad+\sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\{\int_M \langle R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi),\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_j),V\rangle v_g\\
&\quad-\int_M \langle R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi),\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_j),V\rangle v_g\}\\
&=\int_M \langle V,-\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(\phi)+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(\phi),d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\\
&\quad+\sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\{R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi),\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_j)\\
&\quad-R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi),\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_j)\}\rangle v_g.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have the theorem. □

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let* $k = 2s+1 \quad (s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}E_{2s+1}(\phi_t)|_{t=0} = \int_M \langle \tau_{2s+1}(\phi), V\rangle,$$

*where,*

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{2s+1}(\phi) = &-\overline{\triangle}^{2s}\tau(\phi) + R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(\phi), d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\\ &+\sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\{R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_j)\\ &\qquad - R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_j)\}\\ &+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i),\end{aligned}$$

*where,* $\overline{\triangle}^{-1} = 0$.

Proof. When $s = 0$, it is well known harmonic map. So we consider the case of $s = 1, 2, \cdots$.

$$\begin{aligned}E_{2s+1}(\phi) &= \int_M \langle d\underbrace{(d^*d)\cdots(d^*d)}_{s}\phi, d\underbrace{(d^*d)\cdots(d^*d)}_{s}\phi\rangle v_g\\ &= \int_M \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi_t), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi_t)\rangle v_g.\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we calculate $\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}E_{2s+1}(\phi_t)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}E_{2s+1}(\phi_t)|_{t=0}\\ &= \int_M \langle \overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F)\rangle v_g|_{t=0}\\ &= \int_M \langle -\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s}V + \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}R^N(V, d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{l-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(V, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi)\\ &\qquad\qquad - R^N(V, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi)\\ &\qquad\qquad + R^N(V, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi)\\ &\qquad\qquad + R^N(V, d\phi(e_i))\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi)\}\rangle v_g.\end{aligned}$$

Here, using

$$\int_M \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\omega_1, \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\omega_2\rangle v_g = \int_M \langle \overline{\triangle}\omega_1, \omega_2\rangle v_g,$$

where, $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}E_{2s+1}(\phi_t)|_{t=0} \\
&= \int_M \langle V, -\overline{\triangle}^{2s}\tau(\phi)\rangle v_g \\
&\quad + \int_M \langle R^N(V, d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j), \overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(\phi)\rangle v_g \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\int_M \langle -\overline{\nabla}_{e_j} R^N(V, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi) \\
&\qquad\qquad - R^N(V, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi) \\
&\qquad\qquad + R^N(V, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi)\rangle v_g \\
&\quad + \int_M \langle R^N(V, d\phi(e_i))\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi)))\rangle v_g \\
&= \int_M \langle V, -\overline{\triangle}^{2s}\tau(\phi) + R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(\phi), d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\{R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_j) \\
&\qquad - R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_j)\} \\
&\quad + R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i)\rangle v_g.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

So we have the theorem. □

By Theorem 2.5, 2.6, we have the following [9].

**Corollary 2.7.** *harmonic map is always k-harmonic map* ($k = 1, 2, \cdots$).

For $\overline{\triangle}^l$ ($k = 1, 2, \cdots$), we have Theorem 2.10. First, we show the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let* $l = 1, 2, \cdots$. *If* $\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{(l-1)}\tau(\phi) = 0$ ($i = 1, \cdots, m$), *then*

$$\overline{\triangle}^l\tau(\phi) = 0.$$

Proof. Indeed, we can define a global vector field $X_\phi \in \Gamma(TM)$ defined by

$$X_\phi = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle -\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{(l-1)}\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^l\tau(\phi)\rangle e_j. \tag{7}$$

Then, the divergence of $X_\phi$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{div(X_\phi)} &= \langle \overline{\triangle}^l\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^l\tau(\phi)\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^m \langle -\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{(l-1)}\tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^l\tau(\phi)\rangle \\
&= \langle \overline{\triangle}^l\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^l\tau(\phi)\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

by the assumption. Integrating this over $M$, we have

$$0 = \int_M \mathrm{div}(X_\phi)v_g = \int_M \langle \overline{\triangle}^l\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^l\tau(\phi)\rangle v_g,$$

which implies $\overline{\triangle}^l\tau(\phi) = 0$. □

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let $l = 1, 2, \cdots$. If $\overline{\triangle}^{l}\tau(\phi) = 0$, then*

$$\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{(l-1)}\tau(\phi) = 0, \quad (i = 1, \cdots, m).$$

Proof. Indeed, by computing the Laplacian of the $2l$-energy density $e_{2l}(\phi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle e_{2l}(\phi) = & \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{(l-1)}\tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{(l-1)}\tau(\phi) \right\rangle \\ & - \left\langle \overline{\nabla}^{*}\overline{\nabla}(\overline{\triangle}^{(l-1)}\tau(\phi)), \overline{\triangle}^{(l-1)}\tau(\phi) \right\rangle \\ = & \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{(l-1)}\tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{(l-1)}\tau(\phi) \right\rangle \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

By Green's theorem $\int_M \triangle e_{2l}(\phi) v_g = 0$, and (8), we have $\triangle e_{2l}(\phi) = 0$. Again, by (8), we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{(l-1)}\tau(\phi) = 0, \quad (i = 1, \cdots, m, \quad l = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

□

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let $l = 1, 2, \cdots$. If $\overline{\triangle}^{l}\tau(\phi) = 0$ or $\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{(l-1)}\tau(\phi) = 0$, $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$, then $\phi : M \to N$ from a compact Riemannian manifold into a Riemannian manifold is a harmonic map.*

Proof. By using Lemma 2.8, 2.9, we have Theorem 2.10. □

## 3. THE SECOND VARIATIONAL FORMULA OF THE $k$-ENERGY

In this section we calculate the second variation of the $k$-energy.

Now let $\phi : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ be a $k$-harmonic map $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$. We consider a smooth variation $\{\phi_{t,r}\}_{t,r \in I_\epsilon} (I_\epsilon = (-\epsilon, \epsilon))$ of $\phi$ with two parameters $t$ and $r$, i.e. we consider the smooth map $F$ given by

$$F : I_\epsilon \times I_\epsilon \times M \to N, F(t, r, p) = \phi_{t,r}(p),$$

where $F(0, 0, p) = \phi_{0,0}(p) = \phi(p)$, for all $p \in M$.

The corresponding variational vector field $V$ and $W$ are given by

$$V(p) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\phi_{t,0} \in T_{\phi(p)}N,$$
$$W(p) = \frac{d}{dr}|_{r=0}\phi_{0,r} \in T_{\phi(p)}N.$$

$V$ and $W$ are section of $\phi^{-1}TN$, i.e. $V, W \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN)$.

The *Hessian* of $E_k$ at its critical point $\phi$ is defined by

$$H(E_k)_\phi(V, W) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial r}|_{(t,r)=(0,0)} E_k(\phi_{t,r}).$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let $\phi : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ be a $2s$-harmonic map $(s = 1, 2, \cdots)$. Then, the* Hessian *of the $2s-$energy $E_{2s}$ at $\phi$ is given by*

$$H(E_{2s})_\phi(V, W) = \int_M \langle V, J_{2s}(W) \rangle v_g,$$

*where,*

$$J_{2s}(W) = -I_{2s} + II_{2s} + III_{2s} + IV_{2s}.$$

*where,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2s} = & -\overline{\triangle}^{2s}W + \overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j) \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^{2s-1} \overline{\triangle}^{l-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{2s-l-1}\tau(\phi) \\
& \qquad - R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{2s-l-1}\tau(\phi) \\
& \qquad + R^N(W, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{2s-l-1}\tau(\phi)\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
II_{2s} = & -(\nabla^N_{\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(\phi)}R^N)(d\phi(e_i), W)d\phi(e_i) \\
& - (\nabla^N_{d\phi(e_i)}R^N)(W, \overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i) \\
& + R^N(-\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}W + \overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j) \\
& \quad + \sum_{l_2=1}^{2s-2}\{\overline{\triangle}^{l_2-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{2s-l_2-2}\tau(\phi) \\
& \qquad - R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{2s-l_2-2}\tau(\phi) \\
& \qquad + R^N(W, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{2s-l_2-2}\tau(\phi)\}\}, d\phi(e_i))d\phi(e_i) \\
& + R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}W)d\phi(e_i) \\
& + R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(\phi), d\phi(e_i))\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}W,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
III_{2s} = & -(\nabla^N_{\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi)}R^N)(\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi), W)d\phi(e_i) \\
& - (\nabla^N_{\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi)}R^N)(W, \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i) \\
& + R^N(-\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}W + \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j) \\
& \quad + \sum_{l_2=1}^{s+l-2}\{\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{l_2-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2-l_2}\tau(\phi) \\
& \qquad\qquad - R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2-l_2}\tau(\phi) \\
& \qquad\qquad + R^N(W, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2-l_2}\tau(\phi)\}\} \\
& + R^N(W, d\phi(e_i))\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i) \\
& + R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi), \{-\overline{\triangle}^{s-l}W + \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j) \\
& \quad + \sum_{l_2=1}^{s-l-1}\{\overline{\triangle}^{l_2-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi) \\
& \qquad - R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi) \\
& \qquad + R^N(W, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi)\}\})d\phi(e_i) \\
& + R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}W,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned} IV_{2s} =& -(\nabla^N_{\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi)}R^N)(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi),W)d\phi(e_i)\\ &-(\nabla^N_{\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi)}R^N)(W,\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i)\\ &+R^N(-\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}W+\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\\ &\quad+\sum_{l_2=1}^{s+l-2}\{\overline{\triangle}^{l_2-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2-l_2}\tau(\phi)\\ &\qquad-R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2-l_2}\tau(\phi)\\ &\qquad+R^N(W,d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2-l_2}\tau(\phi)\},\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i)\\ &+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi),\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l}W+\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\\ &\quad+\sum_{l_2=1}^{s-l-1}\{\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{l_2-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi)\\ &\qquad\qquad-R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi)\\ &\qquad\qquad+R^N(W,d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi)\}\\ &\quad+R^N(W,d\phi(e_i))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi)\})d\phi(e_i)\\ &+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(\phi),\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}W. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r\partial t}E_{2s}(F)\\ &\quad=\int_M\langle\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}),-\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(F)+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(F),dF(e_i))dF(e_i)\\ &\qquad+\sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\{R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F),\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i)\\ &\qquad-R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F),\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i)\}\rangle v_g.\\ &\quad+\int_M\langle F(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}),\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\{-\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(F)+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(F),dF(e_i))dF(e_i)\\ &\qquad+\sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\{R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F),\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i)\\ &\qquad-R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F),\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i)\}\}\rangle v_g. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Then, putting t=0, the first term of (9) vanishes. Thus, we calculate the second term of (9)

Using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(F)|_{t=0}=I_{2s}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(F), dF(e_i))dF(e_i)\\
=&(\nabla^N_{dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial r})}R^N)(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}, dF(e_i))dF(e_i)\\
&+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}, dF(e_i))dF(e_i))\\
&+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}, \overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}dF(e_i))dF(e_i))\\
&+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}, dF(e_i))\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}dF(e_i)),
\end{aligned}$$

Using second Bianch's identity, Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}\tau(F), dF(e_i))dF(e_i)|_{t=0} = II_{2s}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F), \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i)\\
=&(\nabla^N_{dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial r})}R^N)(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F), \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i)\\
&+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F), \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i))\\
&+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i))\\
&+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F), \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}dF(e_i)),
\end{aligned}$$

Using second Bianch's identity, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F), \overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i) = III_{2s}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i)\\
=&(\nabla^N_{dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial r})}R^N)(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i)\\
&+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i))\\
&+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i))\\
&+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}dF(e_i)),
\end{aligned}$$

Using second Bianch's identity, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-2}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i) = IV_{2s}.$$

□

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let $\phi : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ be a $(2s+1)$-harmonic map $(s = 0, 1, \cdots)$. Then, the* Hessian *of the $(2s+1)$-energy $E_{2s+1}$ at $\phi$ is given by*

$$H(E_{2s+1})_\phi(V, W) = \int_M \langle V, J_{2s+1}(W)\rangle v_g,$$

*where,*

$$J_{2s+1}(W) = -I_{2s+1} + II_{2s+1} + III_{2s+1} + IV_{2s+1} + V_{2s+1},$$

*where,*

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{2s+1} = &-\overline{\triangle}^{2s+1}W+\overline{\triangle}^{2s}R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\\
&+\sum_{l=1}^{2s}\overline{\triangle}^{l-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{2s-l}\tau(\phi)\\
&\qquad-R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{2s-l}\tau(\phi)\\
&\qquad+R^N(W,d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{2s-l}\tau(\phi)\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
II_{2s+1} = &-(\nabla^N_{\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(\phi)}R^N)(d\phi(e_i),W)d\phi(e_i)\\
&-(\nabla^N_{d\phi(e_i)}R^N)(W,\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i)\\
&\quad+R^N(-\overline{\triangle}^{2s}W+\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\\
&+\sum_{l_2=1}^{2s-1}\{\overline{\triangle}^{l_2-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{2s-l_2-1}\tau(\phi)\\
&\qquad-R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{2s-l_2-1}\tau(\phi)\\
&\qquad+R^N(W,d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{2s-l_2-1}\tau(\phi)\}\},d\phi(e_i))d\phi(e_i)\\
&+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(\phi),\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}W)d\phi(e_i)\\
&+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(\phi),d\phi(e_i))\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}W,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
III_{2s+1} = &-(\nabla^N_{\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi)}R^N)(\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi),W)d\phi(e_i)\\
&-(\nabla^N_{\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}}R^N)(W,\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i)\\
&+R^N(-\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l}W+\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\\
&\quad+\sum_{l_2=1}^{s+l-1}\{\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{l_2-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi)\\
&\qquad-R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi)\\
&\qquad+R^N(W,d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi)\}\\
&+R^N(W,d\phi(e_i))\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi)\},\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i)\\
&+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi),\{-\overline{\triangle}^{s-l}W+\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\\
&\quad+\sum_{l_2=1}^{s-l-1}\{\overline{\triangle}^{l_2-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi)\\
&\qquad-R^N(W,d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi)\\
&\qquad+R^N(W,d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi)\}\})d\phi(e_i)\\
&+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi),\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}W,
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
IV_{2s} = &- (\nabla^N_{\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi)} R^N)(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi), W)d\phi(e_i) \\
&- (\nabla^N_{\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}} R^N)(W, \overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i) \\
&+ R^N(-\overline{\triangle}^{s+l}W + \overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{l_2=1}^{s+l-1}\{\overline{\triangle}^{l_2-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi) \\
&\qquad\qquad - R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi) \\
&\qquad\qquad + R^N(W, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi)\}, \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i) \\
&+ R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi), \{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l}W + \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{l_2=1}^{s-l-1}\{\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{l_2-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi) \\
&\qquad\qquad - R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi) \\
&\qquad\qquad + R^N(W, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1-l_2}\tau(\phi)\} \\
&+ R^N(W, d\phi(e_i))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi)\})d\phi(e_i) \\
&+ R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(\phi), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(\phi))\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}W,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{2s+1} = &- (\nabla^N_{\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l}\tau(\phi)} R^N)(\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi), W)d\phi(e_i) \\
&- (\nabla^N_{\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi)} R^N)(W, \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i) \\
&+ R^N(-\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s}W + \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{l_2=1}^{s-1}\{\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{l_2-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l_2-1}\tau(\phi) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l_2-1}\tau(\phi) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + R^N(W, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s-l_2-1}\tau(\phi)\} \\
&+ R^N(W, d\phi(e_i))\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi)\}, \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi))d\phi(e_i) \\
&+ R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi), \{-\overline{\triangle}^{s}W + \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{l_2=1}^{s-1}\{\overline{\triangle}^{l_2-1}\{-\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\triangle}^{s-l_2-1}\tau(\phi) \\
&\qquad\quad - R^N(W, d\phi(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l_2-1}\tau(\phi) \\
&\qquad\quad + R^N(W, d\phi(\nabla_{e_j}e_j)\overline{\triangle}^{s-l_2-1}\tau(\phi)\}\})d\phi(e_i) \\
&+ R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(\phi))\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}W.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r\partial t}E_{2s+1}(F)\\
&\quad=\int_M\langle\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}),-\overline{\triangle}^{2s}\tau(F)+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(F),dF(e_j))dF(e_j)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\{R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F),\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j)\\
&\qquad\quad-R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F),\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j)\}\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F),\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i)\rangle v_g.\\
&\quad+\int_M\langle F(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}),\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\{-\overline{\triangle}^{2s}\tau(F)+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(F),dF(e_j))dF(e_j)\\
&\qquad+\sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\{R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F),\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j)\\
&\qquad\quad-R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F),\overline{\nabla}_{e_j}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j)\}\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F),\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F))dF(e_i)\}\}\rangle v_g.
\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

Then, putting t=0, the first term of (10) vanishes. Thus, we calculate the second term of (10)

Using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\triangle}^{2s}\tau(F)|_{t=0}=I_{2s+1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(F),dF(e_j))dF(e_j)\\
&\quad=(\nabla^N_{dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial r})}R^N)(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1},dF(e_j))dF(e_j)\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1},dF(e_j))dF(e_j))\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1},\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}dF(e_j))dF(e_j))\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1},dF(e_j))\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}dF(e_j)),
\end{aligned}$$

Using second Bianch's identity, Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}\tau(F),dF(e_j))dF(e_j)|_{t=0}=II_{2s+1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F),\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j)\\
&\quad=(\nabla^N_{dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial r})}R^N)(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F),\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j)\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F),\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j))\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F),\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j))\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F),\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}dF(e_j)),
\end{aligned}$$

Using second Bianch's identity, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F),\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j)=III_{2s+1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j)\\
&\quad=(\nabla^N_{dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial r})}R^N)(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j)\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j))\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j))\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}dF(e_j)),
\end{aligned}$$

Using second Bianch's identity, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} R^N(\overline{\triangle}^{s+l-1}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-l-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j) = IV_{2s+1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F), \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j)\\
&\quad=(\nabla^N_{dF(\frac{\partial}{\partial r})}R^N)(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F), \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j)\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F), \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j))\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F), \overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j))\\
&\qquad+R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F), \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F))\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}dF(e_j)),
\end{aligned}$$

Using second Bianch's identity, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} R^N(\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F), \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}\tau(F))dF(e_j) = V_{2s+1}.$$

□

Definition **3.3.** Assume that $\phi : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ is a $k$-harmonic map. The operator $J_k$ on $\Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN)$ is the $2k$th order self-adjoint elliptic differential operator, so that it has a spectrum consisting of discrete eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_t < \cdots$ with their finite multiplicities. Denote by $E^k_{\lambda_1}, E^k_{\lambda_2}, \cdots$, the corresponding eigenspaces in $\Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN)$. Then, the definitions of *k-index* and *k-nullity* are given by

$$\mathrm{index}_k(\phi) = \dim(\oplus_{\lambda<0}E^k_\lambda),$$

$$\mathrm{nullity}_k(\phi) = \dim E^k_0.$$

And $\phi$ is *weakly stable* if index $= 0$, i.e., $H(E_k)_\phi(V, V) \geq 0$, for all $V \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN)$. $\phi$ is *unstable* if it is not *weakly stable.*

**Proposition 3.4.** *Any harmonic map is weakly stable $k$-harmonic map.*

Proof. Case1. $k = 2s, (s = 1, 2, \cdots)$.

By assumption we have

(11)

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{2s}(V,V) = \int_M & \langle V, -(-\overline{\triangle}^{2s}V + \overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)) \\
&\qquad + R^N(-\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}V + \overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j), d\phi(e_i))d\phi(e_i)\rangle v_g \\
= & \int_M \langle -V, -\overline{\triangle}^{2s}V + \overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\rangle v_g \\
& + \int_M \langle R^N(V,d\phi(e_i))d\phi(e_i), -\overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}V + \overline{\triangle}^{2s-2}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\rangle v_g \\
= & \int_M || -\overline{\triangle}^{s}V + \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_i)||^2 v_g \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $k = 2s+1, (s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$.

By assumption we have

(12)

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\phi}(V,V) = \int_M & \langle V, -(-\overline{\triangle}^{2s+1}V + \overline{\triangle}^{2s}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j))) \\
&\qquad + R^N(-\overline{\triangle}^{2s}V + \overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j), d\phi(e_i))d\phi(e_i)\rangle v_g \\
= & \int_M \langle -V, -\overline{\triangle}^{2s+1}V + \overline{\triangle}^{2s}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\rangle v_g \\
& + \int_M \langle R^N(V,d\phi(e_i))d\phi(e_i), -\overline{\triangle}^{2s}V + \overline{\triangle}^{2s-1}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j)\rangle v_g \\
= & \int_M ||\overline{\nabla}(-\overline{\triangle}^{s}V + \overline{\triangle}^{s-1}R^N(V,d\phi(e_j))d\phi(e_j))||^2 v_g \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 3.5.** *Assume that* $\phi : (M,g) \to (N,h)$ *is a harmonic map. Then,*

$$\text{nullity}_k(\phi) = \{V \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN); J(\overline{\triangle}^{k-2}J(V)) = 0\}.$$

Proof. If $\phi$ is harmonic map, then, $\tau(\phi) = 0$. Thus we have

$$J_k(V) = J(\overline{\triangle}^{k-2}J(V)),$$

for all $V \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN)$. Therefore, we have the corollary. □

## 4. The $k$-harmonic maps into the product spaces

In this section, we describe the necessary and sufficient condition of $k$-harmonic maps into the product spaces. First, let us recall the result of Y.-L. Ou [4].

**Theorem 4.1** ([4])**.** *Let* $\varphi : (M,g) \to (N_1,h_1)$ *and* $\psi : (M,g) \to (N_2,h_2)$ *be two maps. Then, the map* $\phi : (M,g) \to (N_1 \times N_2, h_1 \times h_2)$ *with* $\phi(x) = (\varphi(x), \psi(x))$ *is* 2*-harmonic if and only if the both map* $\varphi$ *or* $\psi$ *are* 2*-harmonic. Furthermore, if one of* $\varphi$ *or* $\psi$ *is* 2*-harmonic and the other is a proper* 2*-harmonic map, then* $\phi$ *is a proper* 2*-harmonic map.*

We generalize Theorem 4.1 for $k$-harmonic maps. Namely, we have the following theorem which is useful to construct examples the $k$-harmonic maps.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let* $\varphi : (M,g) \to (N_1,h_1)$ *and* $\psi : (M,g) \to (N_2,h_2)$ *be two maps. Then, the map* $\phi : (M,g) \to (N_1 \times N_2, h_1 \times h_2)$ *with* $\phi(x) = (\varphi(x), \psi(x))$ *is* $k$*-harmonic if and only if the both map* $\varphi$ *or* $\psi$ *are* $k$*-harmonic. Furthermore, if one of* $\varphi$ *or* $\psi$ *is harmonic and the other is a proper* $k$*-harmonic map, then* $\phi$ *is a proper* $k$*-harmonic map.*

Proof. It is easily seen that

$$d\phi(X) = d\varphi(X) + d\phi(X), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM). \tag{13}$$

It follows that

$$\nabla^{\phi}_X d\phi(Y) = \nabla^{\phi}_X d\varphi(Y) + \nabla^{\phi}_X d\psi(Y), \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TM). \tag{14}$$

where,$\nabla^{\phi}$ is given by $\nabla^{\phi}_X = \nabla^N_{d\phi(X)}$, $\forall X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a local orthonaormal frame on $(M, g)$ and $Y = Y^i e_i$, then $d\varphi(Y) = Y^i \varphi^{\alpha}_i (E_\alpha \varphi)$, for some function $\varphi^\alpha$ defined locally on $M$. A straight forward computation yields $\nabla^{\phi}_X d\varphi(Y) = \nabla^{\varphi}_X d\varphi(Y)$, $\tau(\phi) = \tau(\varphi) + \tau(\psi)$, $\overline{\triangle}_\phi \tau(\phi) = \overline{\triangle}_\varphi \tau(\varphi) + \overline{\triangle}_\psi \tau(\psi)$. And we notice that $\overline{\triangle}_\varphi \tau(\varphi)$ is tangent to $N_1$, $\overline{\triangle}_\psi \tau(\psi)$ is tangent to $N_2$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\triangle}_\phi(\overline{\triangle}_\phi \tau(\phi)) &= \overline{\triangle}_\phi(\overline{\triangle}_\varphi \tau(\varphi) + \overline{\triangle}_\psi \tau(\psi)) \\ &= \overline{\triangle}_\varphi(\overline{\triangle}_\varphi \tau(\varphi)) + \overline{\triangle}_\psi(\overline{\triangle}_\psi \tau(\psi)). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Simillary,

$$\overline{\triangle}^t_\phi \tau(\phi) = \overline{\triangle}^t_\varphi \tau(\varphi) + \overline{\triangle}^t_\psi \tau(\psi).$$

for all $t = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

We use the property of the curvature of the product manifold to have

$$\begin{aligned} &R^{N_1\times N_2}(\overline{\triangle}^t_\phi \tau(\phi), d\phi(e_i))d\phi(e_i) \\ =&R^{N_1}(\overline{\triangle}^t_\varphi \tau(\varphi), d\varphi(e_i))d\varphi(e_i) + R^{N_2}(\overline{\triangle}^t_\psi \tau(\psi), d\psi(e_i))d\psi(e_i). \end{aligned}$$

Simillary we have

$$\begin{aligned} &R^{N_1\times N_2}(\overline{\triangle}^s_\phi \tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^t_\phi \tau(\phi)) \\ =&R^{N_1}(\overline{\triangle}^s_\varphi \tau(\varphi), \overline{\triangle}^t_\varphi \tau(\varphi)) + R^{N_2}(\overline{\triangle}^s_\psi \tau(\psi), \overline{\triangle}^t_\psi \tau(\psi)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &R^{N_1\times N_2}(\nabla^{\phi}_{d\phi(X)}\overline{\triangle}^s_\phi \tau(\phi), \overline{\triangle}^t_\phi \tau(\phi)) \\ =&R^{N_1}(\nabla^{\varphi}_{d\varphi(X)}\overline{\triangle}^s_\varphi \tau(\varphi), \overline{\triangle}^t_\varphi \tau(\varphi)) + R^{N_2}(\nabla^{\psi}_{d\psi(X)}\overline{\triangle}^s_\psi \tau(\psi), \overline{\triangle}^t_\psi \tau(\psi)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &R^{N_1\times N_2}(\overline{\triangle}^s_\phi \tau(\phi), \nabla^{\phi}_{d\phi(X)}\overline{\triangle}^t_\phi \tau(\phi)) \\ =&R^{N_1}(\overline{\triangle}^s_\varphi \tau(\varphi), \nabla^{\varphi}_{d\varphi(X)}\overline{\triangle}^t_\varphi \tau(\varphi)) + R^{N_2}(\overline{\triangle}^s_\psi \tau(\psi), \nabla^{\psi}_{d\psi(X)}\overline{\triangle}^t_\psi \tau(\psi)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $t, s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, and for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Thus using Theorem 2.5, 2.6, we have the theorem. □

The following corollary generalizes Corollary 3.4 in [4].

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let $\psi : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ be a smooth map. Then, the graph $\phi : (M, g) \to (M \times N, g \times h)$ with $\phi(x) = (x, \psi(x))$ is a $k$-harmonic map if and only if the map $\psi : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ is a $k$-harmonic map. Furthermore, if $\psi$ is proper $k$-harmonic, then so is the graph.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.2 with $\varphi : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ being identity map which is harmonic. □

## 5. $k$-HARMONIC CURVES INTO A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD WITH CONSTANT SECTIONAL CURVATURE

As well known harmonic map always biharmonic map. And by Corollary 2.7, harmonic map is always $k$-harmonic map. In this section we consider the next problem.

Problem **5.1.** biharmonic map is always $k$-harmonic map ($k = 2, 3, \cdots$) ? More generally, for $s < t$, $s$-harmonic map is always $t$-harmonic map ?

First, the Frenet-frame is given as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \gamma' = T \ , \ \nabla^N_{\gamma'} T = \kappa N \ , \ \nabla^N_{\gamma'} N = -\kappa T, \\ \langle T, N\rangle = 0 \ , \ \langle T, T\rangle = 1 \ , \ \langle N, N\rangle = 1, \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

where $\kappa$ is the geodesic curvature and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle = h$ the Riemannian metric on $N$. Then, we have the following.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let $\gamma : I \to (N^2, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be a smooth curve parametrized by arc length from an open interval of $\mathbb{R}$ into a Riemannian manifold $(N^2, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ with constant sectional curvature $K$. Then, $\gamma$ is a 3-harmonic curve if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} \kappa^{(4)} - 12(\kappa')^2 - 10\kappa^2\kappa'' + \kappa^5 - 3\kappa(\kappa')^2 + K(\kappa'' - 2\kappa^3) = 0, \\ \kappa\kappa^{(3)} - 2\kappa^3\kappa' + 2\kappa'\kappa'' = 0, \end{cases}$$

*where $\kappa$ is the geodesic curvature of $\gamma$.*

Proof. We calculate $(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^2\tau(\gamma)$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^2\tau(\gamma) = {} & (\kappa^{(4)} - 12(\kappa')^2 - 10\kappa^2\kappa'' + \kappa^5 - 3\kappa(\kappa')^2)N \\ & + (-5\kappa\kappa^{(3)} + 10\kappa^3\kappa' - 10\kappa'\kappa'')T. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Therefore, $\gamma$ is 3-harmonic if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & (\kappa^{(4)} - 12(\kappa')^2 - 10\kappa^2\kappa'' + \kappa^5 - 3\kappa(\kappa')^2 + K(\kappa'' - 2\kappa^3))N \\ & + (-5\kappa\kappa^{(3)} + 10\kappa^3\kappa' - 10\kappa'\kappa'')T = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

So we have Proposition 5.2. □

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let $\gamma : I \to (N^2, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be a 3-harmonic curve parametrized by arc length from an open interval of $\mathbb{R}$ into a Riemannian manifold $(N^2, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ with constant sectional curvature $K \geq 0$. If geodesic curvature $\kappa$ is constant, $\kappa = \sqrt{2K}$.*

Proof. We can show this corollary by a direct computation. The proof is omitted. □

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let $\gamma : I \to (N^n, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be a smooth curve parametrized by arc length from an open interval of $\mathbb{R}$ into a Riemannian manifold $(N^n, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ with constant sectional curvature $K$. Then, $\gamma$ is $2s$-harmonic curve if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{2s}(\gamma) =&(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{2s-1}\tau(\gamma)\\ &+ K\{(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{2s-2}\tau(\gamma) - \langle\gamma', (\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{2s-2}\tau(\gamma)\rangle\gamma'\}\\ &- \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} K\{(\langle(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s-l-1}\tau(\gamma), \gamma'\rangle\nabla^N_{\gamma'}(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s+l-2}\tau(\gamma))\}\\ &\quad - \langle\gamma', \nabla^N_{\gamma'}(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s+l-2}\tau(\gamma)\rangle(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s-l-1}\tau(\gamma))\\ &\quad - (\langle\nabla^N_{\gamma'}(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s-l-1}\tau(\gamma)), \gamma'\rangle(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s+l-2}\tau(\gamma)\\ &\quad + \langle\gamma', (\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s+l-2}\tau(\gamma)\rangle\nabla^N_{\gamma'}(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s-l-1}\tau(\gamma)\} = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

Proof. We only notice that

$$\begin{gathered}\overline{\triangle} = -\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'},\\ R^N(V,W)Z = K(\langle W,Z\rangle V - \langle Z,V\rangle W),\\ \langle\gamma',\gamma'\rangle = 1.\end{gathered}$$

We get the proposition. □

Similarly we have

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let $\gamma : I \to (N^n, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be a smooth curve parametrized by arc length from an open interval of $\mathbb{R}$ into a Riemannian manifold $(N^n, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ with constant sectional curvature $K$. Then, $\gamma$ is $(2s+1)$-harmonic curve if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{2s+1}(\gamma) =&- (\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{2s}\tau(\gamma)\\ &- K\{(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{2s-1}\tau(\gamma) - \langle\gamma', (\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{2s-1}\tau(\gamma)\rangle\gamma'\}\\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{s-1} K\{(\langle(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s-l-1}\tau(\gamma), \gamma'\rangle\nabla^N_{\gamma'}(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s+l-1}\tau(\gamma))\}\\ &\quad - \langle\gamma', \nabla^N_{\gamma'}(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s+l-1}\tau(\gamma)\rangle(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s-l-1}\tau(\gamma))\\ &\quad - (\langle\nabla^N_{\gamma'}(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s-l-1}\tau(\gamma)), \gamma'\rangle(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s+l-1}\tau(\gamma)\\ &\quad + \langle\gamma', (\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s+l-1}\tau(\gamma)\rangle\nabla^N_{\gamma'}(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s-l-1}\tau(\gamma)\}\\ &\quad + (\langle(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s-1}\tau(\gamma), \gamma'\rangle\nabla^N_{\gamma'}(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s-1}\tau(\gamma))\}\\ &\quad - \langle\gamma', \nabla^N_{\gamma'}(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s-1}\tau(\gamma)\rangle(\nabla^N_{\gamma'}\nabla^N_{\gamma'})^{s-1}\tau(\gamma))\} = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

Using these propositions, we show the following propositions.

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let $\gamma : I \to (N^2, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be a $2s$-harmonic curve $(s = 1, 2, \cdots)$ parametrized by arc length from an open interval of $\mathbb{R}$ into a Riemannian manifold $(N^2, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ with constant sectional curvature $K \geq 0$. If geodesic curvature $\kappa$ is constant, $\kappa = \sqrt{(2s-1)K}$.*

Proof. By assumption, for all $t = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_{\gamma'}\nabla_{\gamma'})^t\tau(\gamma) &= (-1)^t\kappa^{2t+1}N,\\ \nabla_{\gamma'}(\nabla_{\gamma'}\nabla_{\gamma'})^t\tau(\gamma) &= -(-1)^t\kappa^{2t+2}N.\end{aligned}$$

Using these and Proposition 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{2s}(\gamma) =&- \kappa^{4s-1}N + K(\kappa^{4s-3}N + 2K(s-1)\kappa^{4s-3}N)\\ =&\kappa^{4s-3}(-\kappa^2 + (2s-1)K)N = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the proposition. □

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let $\gamma : I \to (N^2, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be a $(2s+1)$-harmonic curve $(s = 0,1,2,\cdots)$ parametrized by arc length from an open interval of $\mathbb{R}$ into a Riemannian manifold $(N^2, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ with constant sectional curvature $K \geq 0$. If geodesic curvature $\kappa$ is constant, $\kappa = \sqrt{2sK}$.*

Proof. By assumption, for all $t = 0,1,2\cdots$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_{\gamma'}\nabla_{\gamma'})^t\tau(\gamma) &= (-1)^t\kappa^{2t+1}N,\\ \nabla_{\gamma'}(\nabla_{\gamma'}\nabla_{\gamma'})^t\tau(\gamma) &= -(-1)^t\kappa^{2t+2}N.\end{aligned}$$

Using these and Proposition 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{2s+1}(\gamma) =& -\kappa^{4s+1}N + K\kappa^{4s-1}N + 2K(s-1)\kappa^{4s-1}N + K\kappa^{4s-1}N\\ =&\kappa^{4s-1}\{-\kappa^2 + 2sK\}N = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the proposition. □

Therefore, we get the answer of Problem 5.1. For $s < t$, $s$-harmonic map is not always $t$-harmonic map.

Fianlly, we determine that the ODEs of the 3-harmonic curve equations into a sphere.

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let $\gamma : I \to S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a smooth curve parametrized by arc length. Then $\gamma$ is 3-harmonic curve if and only if*

$$-\gamma^{(6)} - 2\gamma^{(4)} - (2g_{13}+3)\gamma'' + 4g_{23}\gamma' + (1 + 9g_{24} + 8g_{33})\gamma = 0, \tag{21}$$

*where $g_{ij} = g_0(\gamma^{(i)}, \gamma^{(j)}), (i,j = 0,1\ldots)$, and $g_0$ is the standard metric on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.*

Proof.

$$\nabla^0_{\gamma'}\gamma' = \sigma(\gamma',\gamma') + \nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma',$$

which yields that

$$\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma' = \nabla^0_{\gamma'}\gamma' + g(\gamma',\gamma')\gamma.$$

Therefore, we have $\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma' = \gamma'' + \gamma$. Similarly,

$$(\nabla_{\gamma'}\nabla_{\gamma'})(\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma') = \gamma^{(4)} + \gamma'' + (g_{13}+1)\gamma.$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(\nabla_{\gamma'}\nabla_{\gamma'})^2(\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma')\\ &\qquad= \gamma^{(6)} + \gamma^{(4)} + (g_{13}+1)\gamma'' + (2g_{23} + 3g_{14})\gamma'\\ &\qquad\quad + (1 + g_{33} + 3g_{24} + 3g_{15} + g_{22} + 3g_{13})\gamma,\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$R^N(\overline{\triangle}\tau(\gamma),\gamma')\gamma' = -\gamma^{(4)} - \gamma'' - (g_{13}+1)\gamma + g_{14}\gamma', \tag{23}$$

$$R^N(\nabla_{\gamma'}\tau(\gamma),\gamma')\gamma' = -(g_{13}+1)\gamma'' - (g_{13}+1)\gamma. \tag{24}$$

where, we used $g_{13} = -g_{22}$ , $g_{14} = -3g_{23}$ , $g_{15} = -3g_{33} - 4g_{24}$. So we have Proposition 5.8. □

## References

[1] T. Ichiyama, J. Inoguchi and H. Urakawa, *Bi-harmonic map and bi-Yang-Mills fields*, Note di Matematica, **28** (2009), 233-275.

[2] H. Urakawa, *Calculus of variation and harmonic maps*, Transl. Math. Monograph. **132**, Amer. Math. Soc.

[3] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo and C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic submanifolds in spheres,* Israel Journal of Mathmatics, **130** (2002), 109-123.

[4] Y.-L. Ou, *Some constructions of biharmonic maps and Chen's conjecture on biharmonic hypersurfaces*, arXiv:0912.1141v1 [math.DG] 6 Dec 2009.

[5] G. Y. Jiang, *2*-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas, Chinese Ann. Math. , 7A (1986), 388-402; the English translation, Note di Matematica, **28**, (2009), 209-232.

[6] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, *Selected topics in harmonic maps*, CBMS, **50**, Amer. Math. Soc, 1983.

[7] P. Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry*, Springer Science 2006.

[8] M. Spivak, *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry*, **I - IV**, Wilmington: Publish or Perlish, 1979.

[9] Wang Shaobo, *The First Variation Formula For $K$-harmonic mapping*, Journal of jiangxi university, Vol 13, No 1, 1989.

*Current address*: Nakakuki 3-10-9 Oyama-shi Tochigi Japan
*E-mail address*: `shun.maeta@gmail.com`